Slope seismic processes Assessment

in Slovenia

Blaž Komac

Anton Melik geographical institute ZRC SAZU COMLAND, Ljubljana, 23th June, 2016 blaz@zrc-sazu.si

Earthquakes are frequent phenomena in Slovenia

- Contact of Alpine & Dinaric tectonic systems
- Adriatic tectonic plate
- Number of faults (Idrija fault, Sava fault)

Several large earthquakes hit the area in history

- The first strong known earthquake was in 1348
- The last strong earthquakes were in 1976 (M6.5), 1998 (M5.7) and 2004 (M4.9)



CO-SEISMIC SLOPE PROCESSES

The most frequent co-seismic geomorphological features:

- cracks (30%),
- landslides (20%) and
- rockfalls (14%).

Co-seismic slope processes (1/3) cause most of the damage during earthquakes.

(Keefer 1984; Prestininzi & Romeo 2000; Yin, Wang & Sun 2009)

- Earthquakes lower the cohesion and shear strength of rocks.
- The magnitude of earthquake-triggered slope processes depends on earthquake magnitude:
- •VI EMS: Stones and rocks are falling, small cracks form

1998: VII-VIII

- VII EMS Middle-size rockfalls
- VIII EMS Rockfalls and large rockfalls, large cracks
- IX EMS Regional rockfalls

(Keefer 1984; Vidrih, Ribičič & Suhadolc 2001)

VII EMS may trigger small rockfalls

50 earthquakes of this size in Slovenia since 16C15 earthquakes in 20C only

1348 Pontebba, Italija	6.4 VIII-IX	Large/reginal
1511 Idrija - Cerkno	6 ,8 X	Regional
1690 Villach, Avstrija	5,9 VIII	Large
1880 Zagreb, Hrvaška	6,2 VII	Middle-size
1895 Ljubljana	6,1 VIII-IX	Large-regional
1976 Gemona, Italija	6 ,5 VIII-IX	Regional
1998 Bovec	5,7 VII-VIII	Large
2004 Bovec	4 ,9 VI-VII	Middle-size



Earthquake-triggered slope processes received global attention during the Wenchuan earthquake in 2008.

Slovenia experienced them 10-15 years ago when approximately 150 large rockfalls were triggered in the Bovec region:

(Vidrih & Ribičič 1998; Vidrih, Ribičič & Suhadolc 2001; Komac & Zorn 2002; Zorn 2002a; Natek, Komac & Zorn 2003; Mikoš, Fazarinc & Ribičič 2006)

Rockfall size (m ³)	1998		2004	
	Number of rockfalls	Total/average volume of rockfalls (m ³)	Number of rockfalls	Volume of rockfalls (m ³)
100-1000	28	14.000/500	32	16.000
1000-10.000	13	26.000 /2000	6	12.000
10.000- 100.000	4	100.000/ 25,000	0	1
Above 100.000	5	800.000/ 160,000	0	1
Total	50	940.000	38	28.000

(Vidrih & Ribičič 1998; Vidrih, Ribičič & Suhadolc 2001; Komac & Zorn 2002; Zorn 2002a; Natek, Komac & Zorn 2003; Mikoš, Fazarinc & Ribičič 2006)

DISTANCE TO FAULTS

Most of earthquake-triggered slope-process lie close to faults which present zones of tectonic tension.

- Example from Italy: 60% < 10 km, max. distance to the nearest fault was 25 km</p>
- Slovenia (1998): 60% < 400 m, max. distance to the nearest fault was 2 km</p>

(for Italian case: Gasparini et al. 1997; Esposito et al. 2000; Prestininzi & Romero 2000)

TOPOGRAPHIC EFFECT

The topographic effect causes larger oscilation of the upper parts of slopes

- Coalinga, California M6.7 ground acceleration at the top of slope was 0.5g, while 0.25g at the bottom.
- Whittier Narrows, California, erthquake waves amplitude was 10x higher on 60m hill than in the nearby plane.
- Northridge, California, 17.1.1994, M6.7: 56% of 11.000 shallow landslides occured in the upper ¼ of slopes

(Murphy et al. 2000; Petley & Murphy 2001; Davis in West 1973; Bouchon 1973; Geli, Bard in Julien 1988; Natek, Komac in Zorn 2003; Spudich, Hellweg & Lee 1996)

During the Soča Valley earthquake 12.4.1998, M5.7

Most of the slope processes were triggered a few hundred metres above the valley bottom. Approximately half of them were rockfalls.



(Natek, Komac & Zorn 2003)

Co-seismic slope processes are an important geomorphological factor in the reshaping of slopes and mountain ridges.

The 1998&2004 earthquakes released almost 1Mm³ of material:

30% remained on the slopes

20% ended in watercourses

50% can connect to watercourses

(About the same amount of material was released by the Stovžje debris flow.)

ROCKFALL & LANDSLIDE DAMS

The released sediments can block watercourses

The Wenchuan earthquake caused 828 landslide (and rockfall) dams

60 % of the dams are spilled-overin one month time,14 % remain in long-term

40 % of them were partial and 60 % full blockage

(Costa & Schuster 1988; Korup 2002; Korup, McSaveney & Davies 2004; Komac, Natek & Zorn 2008; Fan et al. 2012).

LANDSLIDE DAMS IN SLOVENIA

In Slovenia about 10% of known slope processes can connect to watercourses. Some examples:

Time		Region	Event	Cause
	15,000 BP	Julian Alps	rockfall dam	unknown
	1511	Julian Alps	rockfall dam	earthquake
			possible rockfall	
	1511	Julian Alps	dam	earthquake
	1348	Gailtal/Zilja Alps	rockfall dam	earthquake
		Kamnik-Savinja		
	1990	Alps	landslide dam	floods
	2004	Julian Alps	rockfall dam	unknown

(Košir & Cecić 2011; Cecić 2011; Komac & Zorn 2009; Komac, Natek & Zorn 2008; Buser 1986; Zorn 2002a; Bavec et al. 2004; Zorn 2002b)



Vipava valley, Selo Rockfall

15,000 BP 150 M m³, 10 km²



Soča valley, Kuntri The largest rockfall in Slo. Alps 12,790 BP 200 M m³ Dam and lake formation



Sava valley, Mirca 12,790 BP 10 M m³ Dam (20 m) and lake formation





Idrija valley 1511 Rockfall Dam formation (the Idrija settlement supposed do be flooded)



1994 Twin rockfalls





Soča valley Rockfalls

Tolminka valley 1998 Rockfalls 0 km 0,5 km 1 km 1,5 km 2 km 2,5 km

Stovžje plaz*- landslide* Stenge stari most - old bridge (destructed) novi most - new bridge trmec pod uničeni del vasi in moinganiom ceste, podrt most - the destructed part of the village, and road with a bridge

Plaz Stovžje, pot drobirskega toka in del mesta akumulacije; Stovžje landslide, debris flow path and part of the accumulation area;



Vsebina skice in kartografija / *Content and cartography*:

Blaž Komac

- stavba / building
- vzpetina / elevation
- vodotok / stream

cesta / road

smer drobirskega toka / debris flow direction

območje plazu, drobirskega toka in odlaganja gradiva / landslide area, debris flow and accumulation



Tolminka valley 2004 Rockfalls, dam

(Komac & Zorn 2009)



Čedca rockfall 2008 Rockfalls, debris flow

ASSESSMENT BY THE NEWMARK METHOD

We assessed the probability of such events using the Newmark method which defines the relations between:

- rock strength,
- relief (slope inclination), and
- ground movements.

The method is known in geotechnical analysis. Here it was used at regional/national scale. The possibility of sliding was calculated from the critical acceleration - acceleration of the quake which could lead to rock displacement by exceeding rock shear strength, defined by Factor of safety.

(Keefer 1984; Harp & Jibson 1996; Keffer, Wasowski & Del Gaudio et al. 2006; Carson & Kirkby 1972; Wilson & Keefer 1985; Miles & Ho 1999; Jibson, Harp & Michael 2000; Miles & Keefer 2001a; 2001b; Jibson & Michael 2009; Chen et al. 2014)

Critical acceleration (ac):



The calculated critical acceleration was compared to the expected seismic acceleration for Slovenia.



© Geografski inštitut Antona Melika ZRC SAZU

Factor of safety About 60% of landslides are in unstable areas, 4% in relatively stable areas and 36% in stable areas. The database, therefore, also includes rockfalls.



© Geografski inštitut Antona Melika ZRC SAZU

Landslides occur at low values of a_c .

High a_c means that stronger force is needed to activate the movement. 75% of the recorded slope processes have been triggered at low critical acceleration values, less than 10% at moderate values and 25% at high values.



© Geografski inštitut Antona Melika ZRC SAZU

Comparison of a_c & earthquake g: during an earthquake with a return period of 475 years, the a_c of slopes is reached in mountain areas: Julian Alps, Kamnik-Savinja Alps, the Sava Hills, Strojna, Kozjak and Pohorje, Slovenske gorice, Cerkno, Škofja Loka, Polhov Gradec and Rovte hills.

CONCLUSION

Four different but related natural hazards were discussed: earthquake, landslides & rockfalls, and floods.

- The risk of landslide and rockfall activation on regional level during in the event of an earthquake was estimated using the Newmark method.
- About 50% of the 1998 earthquake-triggered rockfalls were located in high hazard areas and close to faults.

- Large-scale & low frequency events may release and move large amounts of sediment. In Slovenia, this applies to strong earthquakes and extreme precipitation events.
- The 1998 & 2004 earthquakes released almost the same amount of material (1Mm³) as the debris flow (500 y return period).
- About 50% of the material can connect to watercourses sometime in future.
- About 10 % of Slovenian streams are subject to possible landslide or rockfall dam formation.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION

Blaž Komac Anton Melik geographical institute ZRC SAZU COMLAND, Ljubljana, 23th June, 2016 <u>blaz@zrc-sazu.si</u>

